## McColl Elementary/Middle 700 North Main Street McColl, SC 29570 PK-8 Elementary School GRADES 657 Students ENROLLMENT Macky Norton 843-523-5371 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. David A. Sherbine 843-479-4016 Mr. Ronald B. Henegan 843-479-7838 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 25 52 17 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 24 out of 25 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

0

Z

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress	
Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A	
Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A	
Average	Unsatisfactory	No	
Average	Unsatisfactory	No	
	Below Average Below Average Average	Below Average Unsatisfactory Below Average Unsatisfactory Average Unsatisfactory	Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A Average Unsatisfactory No

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING


Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

79.2%

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

**Elementary Schools with Students like Ours** 



#### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE B	y Gro	ШP							
	Enrollment 1st		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M.
Englis	/ h/Langua	1	/	/	/ Objective	= 17.6%			
All Students	460	98.7	35.2	43.4	19.0	2.4	27.2	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	238	98.3	38.4	43.6	16.1	1.9	22.7		
Female	222	99.1	31.9	43.1	22.1	2.9	31.9		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	239	98.7	25.4	46.4	24.9	3.3	33.0	Yes	Yes
African-American	154	100.0	47.9	38.4	13.0	0.7	18.5	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	64	95.3	36.8	47.4	14.0	1.8	28.1	Yes	Yes
Disability Status									
Not disabled	374	99.2	33.0	44.3	19.6	3.0	30.1		
Disabled	86	96.5	44.3	39.2	16.5	0.0	15.2	Yes	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	460	98.7	35.2	43.4	19.0	2.4	27.2		
English Proficiency	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	1/0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	460	98.7	35.2	43.4	19.0	2.4	27.2		
Subsidized meals	351	98.6	40.7	40.7	17.0	1.6	24.3	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	106	100.0	17.3	52.0	25.5	5.1	36.7	168	162
ruli-pay meals	100	100.0	17.3	1 52.0	∠3.5	J 0.1	30.7	I	ı <b>I</b>

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	460	98.7	41.2	39.5	14.0	5.3	30.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	238	98.7	41.2	38.4	15.2	5.2	29.9		
Female	222	98.7	41.2	40.7	12.7	5.4	30.4		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	239	98.3	32.5	40.7	20.1	6.7	39.2	Yes	Yes
African-American	154	100.0	53.4	37.0	6.2	3.4	17.1	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	64	96.9	42.1	43.9	10.5	3.5	28.1	Yes	Yes
Disability Status									
Not disabled	374	98.9	36.0	42.6	15.2	6.3	33.9		
Disabled	86	97.7	63.3	26.6	8.9	1.3	13.9	Yes	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	460	98.7	41.2	39.5	14.0	5.3	30.1		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	460	98.7	41.2	39.5	14.0	5.3	30.1		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	351	98.9	44.2	39.4	11.7	4.7	26.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	106	99.1	31.6	39.8	21.4	7.1	42.9		

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

WCOOII LICITION	)////idaio						
PACT PERFO	RMANCE	E BY GF	ADE LE	VEL			
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
		Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts			
Grade 3	74	97.3	37.1	42.9	15.7	4.3	20.0
Grade 4	76	98.7	39.1	53.6	7.2	N/A	7.2
Grade 5	83	98.8	44.3	49.4	6.3	N/A	6.3
Grade 6	79	98.7	36.1	50.0	13.9	N/A	13.9
Grade 7	68	98.5	45.9	45.9	8.2	N/A	8.2
Grade 8	79	97.5	53.0	34.8	12.1	N/A	12.1
Grade 3	74	100.0	29.6	29.6	32.4	8.5	40.8
Grade 4	68	98.5	53.0	36.4	7.6	3.0	10.6
Grade 5	89	98.9	34.5	60.7	3.6	1.2	4.8
Grade 6	86	98.8	26.3	46.3	26.3	1.3	27.5
Grade 7	80	100.0	27.6	55.3	17.1	N/A	17.1
Grade 8	64	95.3	46.7	41.7	11.7	N/A	11.7
			Mathemat	ice			
Grade 3	74	100.0	20.0	67.1	10.0	2.9	12.9
Grade 4	76	100.0	35.7	48.6	12.9	2.9	15.7
Grade 5	83	97.6	40.5	46.8	12.7	N/A	12.7
Grade 6	79	100.0	38.4	47.9	8.2	5.5	13.7
Grade 7	68	97.1	55.0	35.0	8.3	1.7	10.0
Grade 8	79	98.7	61.2	37.3	N/A	1.5	1.5
Grade 3	74	100.0	22.5	35.2	35.2	7.0	42.3
Grade 4	68	98.5	34.8	54.5	4.5	6.1	10.6
Grade 5	89	98.9	44.0	46.4	6.0	3.6	9.5
Grade 6	86	98.8	32.5	43.8	16.3	7.5	23.8
Grade 7	80	98.8	42.7	41.3	12.0	4.0	16.0
Grade 8	64	96.9	73.8	21.3	3.3	1.6	4.9

SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School	
Students (n= 657)					
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%	
Retention rate	4.6%	Up from 3.6%	3.6%	2.7%	
Attendance rate	94.6%	Down from 94.7%	96.3%	96.4%	
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	8.6%		5.9%	4.6%	
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	6.0%		4.6%	3.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.0%	Up from 5.7%	8.2%	13.5%	
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV	
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV	
With disabilities other than speech	12.1%	Down from 12.3%	8.8%	8.2%	
Older than usual for grade	5.5%	Up from 4.7%	1.7%	0.9%	
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	5.2%	Down from 8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 48)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	64.6%	Up from 60.9%	46.9%	51.4%	
Continuing contract teachers	85.4%	Down from 89.1%	85.7%	87.5%	
Highly qualified teachers**	93.1%	N/A	94.6%	95.0%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers returning from previous year	91.8%	Up from 91.1%	86.0%	86.7%	
Teacher attendance rate	91.9%	Down from 93.0%	94.6%	94.9%	
Average teacher salary	\$42,229	Up 4.0%	\$40,379	\$40,760	
Prof. development days/teacher	14.9 days	Down from 15.2 days	13.4 days	12.4 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	4.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	19.5 to 1	Down from 20.0 to 1	17.7 to 1	18.9 to 1	
Prime instructional time	84.8%	Down from 86.0%	89.9%	90.0%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,847	Up 0.4%	\$6,243	\$6,044	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	65.5%	Down from 66.8%	65.3%	65.9%	
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences	87.4%	Up from 78.6%	99.0%	99.0%	
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes	
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Below Average	N/A	Good	Good	
•		Our District	5	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**		N/A	9	2.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	85.9%	9	1.1%	
		State Objective	e Met Sta	te Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school	**	65.0%		Yes	
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	No		
**NOTE: The verification process was not complete	d for the year re	ported: therefore the count of hi	ably avalified toochors	may not be seems	

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

McColl Elementary/Middle School serves students in grades 4K - 8. The student population is approximately 715 with a certified staff of 51 and 27 support personnel. 2003-04 has been a very rewarding year for our school.

The Extended School Year (year-round)program has completed the third year of operation. Surveys of teachers, parents, and students indicate continued satisfaction in academic progress, student attendance, the physical environment, and morale.

McColl Elementary School is fully accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. The school also received the Palmetto Silver Award for test score improvement.

Several new instructional approaches were introduced including team teaching in grades three and four. A grant for an Outdoor Science Classroom was received as well as a HP Technology for Teaching Grant.

PACT test scores continue to improve especially in the math area. The overall improvement was 4.4%. This represents an improvement of 21.4% since baseline data was established in 1998-99.

The McColl School family continues to work together to improve every aspect of the scool program. We take our motto, " If we believe, we can achieve", seriously.

Macky Norton

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	42	84	71					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.9%	77.1%	82.4%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.6%	81.7%	73.2%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	78.0%	86.9%	66.7%					

\*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.